

[149] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2595-2022

Date of Decision : 15.12.2022

Halima ...Petitioner
versus

Vijayendra Kumar, IAS And OthersRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nasir Jamal, Advocate for
Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 31.08.2022, in CWP-17918-2022.

[2] A perusal of Annexure P-1, reveals that CWP-17918-2022, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide legal notice dated 19.07.2022, served by the petitioner by passing an appropriate order within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, after complying with the principles of natural justice.

[3] Although notice has not been issued in this case, yet, learned AAG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 05.12.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 19.07.2022. The same is taken on record and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to challenge order dated 05.12.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

15.12.2022
himanshu

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No