

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(126)

CWP No. 26573 of 2022
Date of Decision : 21.11.2022

Naresh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the transfer of the petitioner as depicted vide order dated 06.09.2022 (Annexure P-4) is contrary to the transfer policy as well as to the rules governing the service as the petitioner has been transferred outside his Cadre, which is not permissible.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel submits that though, the grievance raised by the petitioner in the MIS Portal has already been rejected on 29.09.2022 but in case, the petitioner files a detailed representation with the authorities concerned, a detailed speaking order will be passed independent of

the decision taken on the grievance as raised by the petitioner in the MIS Portal and in case, it is found that the claim is genuine, the appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 21, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No