

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

1. Regular Second Appeal No. 3408 of 2014 (O&M)

Pataso Devi alias Patasi Devi

... Appellant(s)

Versus

M/s Ujjawal Colonizer Pvt. Ltd.

... Respondent(s)

AND

2. C.O.C.P. No. 9907 of 2018

Pataso Devi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Manoj, Manager, M/s Ujjawal Colonizer Pvt. Ltd. And Another

... Respondent(s)

DATE OF DECISION: 19.12.2022

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. N.C.Kinra, Advocate
for the appellant (In RSA-3408-2014) and
for the petitioner (In COCP-9907-2018).

Mr. S.K.Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent (In RSA-3408-2014) and
for the respondent No.1 (In COCP-9907-2018).

Mr. Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana and Mr. Jaspal Singh Pannu, Assistant Advocate
General, Haryana.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. In view of the affidavit of Mr. Raj Keerti, District Town
Planner, Rohtak and a communication dated 28.11.2022 issued by the

Administrator, Haryana Shehari Vikas Pradhikaran, Rohtak, the learned

counsel representing the appellant submits that his grievance stands redressed because the appellant has been provided with the path.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the appeal as well as the petition for initiating the contempt proceedings are disposed of.

3. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, in the appeal shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

December 19, 2022

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No