

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26658-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.11.2022

Manoj @ Manoj Chhawadi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goel, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed the instant petition seeking issuance of a mandamus for grant of licence of one urban area liquor vend on the plea that out of total four liquor vends (3 urban area liquor vends and one rural area liquor vend) only three licences (2 urban area liquor vends and one rural area liquor vend) have been allotted to the petitioner.

Counsel submits that the licence fee as regards the urban area liquor vend which still has not been allotted to the petitioner, has been deposited regularly .

Since an advance copy of the petition had already been served upon the respondents, Ms. Shruti Jain Goel, learned DAG, Haryana upon instructions from Mr. Satbir Singh, Excise Inspector, DETC (X) Faridbad, informs the Court that a notice dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-13) that the petitioner had already been served upon the respondents as regards the

grievance and prayer raised in the instant petition is now pending consideration before the Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Haryana.

State counsel further submits that a final view would be taken expeditiously.

In the light of such stand taken on behalf of the State, there would be no occasion for this Court to examine the prayer that has been raised and as culled out hereinabove.

Without opining on the merits of the claim, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the Excise and Taxation Commissioner, Haryana, to examine the matter and to take a final decision on the notice dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-13) in accordance with law within a period of three weeks from today and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

A reasoned order be passed within the time frame that has been so directed and the same be conveyed to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.11.2022
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No