IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26471-2022

Date of decision : 21.11.2022

Gurkeerat Kaur

…….Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

….Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab.

****

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

Earlier, the petitioner had filed CWP No. 22917 of 2022 for the same cause of action, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 10.10.2022, after taking on record the statement of learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, that the representation dated 26.07.2022 filed by the petitioner shall be considered and a decision thereon would be taken expeditiously :

> "The petitioner has filed this petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing note of sub clause (v) of Clause 15 and note of sub clauses (ii) (v) of Clause 16 dealing with 1% reservation to sports person, of notification dated 22.08.2022 (Annexure P-10) with regard to admission to MBBS/BDS course in the State of Punjab.
>
> Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to her grievances, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 26.07.2022 (Annexure P-12) to the respondent-University and the respondent-University has not taken any decision thereon and forwarded the same to the Director, Department of

NAROTAM DASS
2022.11.22 14:16
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Medical Education & Research, Government of Punjab, vide letter dated 23.08.2022 (Annexure P-13). He further submits that till date, the said representation is still pending for adjudication with the State Government and no decision thereon has been taken so far.

Learned Assistant Advocate General appearing for the respondent-State of Punjab submits that the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner shall be considered and a decision thereon would be taken expeditiously in accordance with law.

Taking the statement of learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on record, the petition stands disposed of."

Although the petitioner alleged to have submitted a representation dated 12.10.2022 (P-18) pursuant to our order dated 10.10.2022 (*ibid*), but upon being pointedly asked, he concedes that the authorities have not yet passed and/or communicated any orders thereon to the petitioner. Apparently, the petitioner has filed this second petition based upon the same cause of action, claiming the same reliefs, which in the given circumstances is not maintainable.

The petition is, accordingly, disposed of being not maintainable. But as the representation submitted by the petitioner is still pending consideration, she shall be at liberty to pursue the same with the authorities.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

November 21, 2022
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No

NAROTAM DASS
2022.11.22 14:16
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document