

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45973-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.11.2022

Hardeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Y. S. Rathore, APP, Chandigarh,
Ms. Sudha Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Yuvraj Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 1/U.T., Chandigarh.

None for respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that since the charges have been framed and the case is now fixed for prosecution evidence, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a revision petition before the Court of Sessions challenging the order framing charge.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

In case the petitioner files a revision before the Court of Sessions within a period of 15 days from today, the same shall be decided on merits, without raising any objection regarding limitation as the petitioner was pursuing his remedy before this Court.

22.11.2022

WASEEM ANSARI

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)

JUDGE

*Yes/No
Yes/No*