

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53143-2022

Date of decision : 09.12.2022

Gurjeet Singh @ Jony

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. S.S. Ranghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.245 dated 22.07.2020 registered under Sections 341, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 307 of IPC has been added later on) at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

On 17.11.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia, contends that in the present case, the matter has been compromised vide compromise dated 13.07.2022 and in the said compromise, the complainant Pargat Singh has specifically stated that he has no objection in case the FIR is quashed.

Notice of motion for 09.12.2022.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr.Jasinder Singh Sekhon, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has reaffirmed the fact that the matter has been compromised and has submitted that respondent No.2 has no objection in case the present

petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent No.2 have submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and have reiterated the fact that the matter has been compromised.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that he has no objection in case, the interim anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner is confirmed.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 17.11.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and also the fact that the matter has been compromised, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 17.11.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

09.12.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No