

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CWP No.26172 of 2022

Date of Decision: 15.12.2022

Nounidh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Randeep Tanwar, Advocate, for
Mr. P.K. Dwivedi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajnesh Shelly, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the prayer in the present petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to issue passport to the petitioner.

The petitioner is a minor and is living in the care and custody of his maternal grandmother. The parents of the petitioner had separated long back and their marriage was dissolved by a decree of divorce on 01.07.2015.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that upon notice of motion, the case file of the petitioner was re-examined and the application for issue of passport has been granted. The petitioner has been issued passport bearing No.W5614849 on 01.12.2022 and the same has been dispatched to him at the given address against speed post tracking No.PP848410409IN.

In view of the above noticed submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the cause of action in the present petition does not survive and the same be disposed of accordingly.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

December 15, 2022

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No