

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26739-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.12.2022

Bharti Singh

.....Petitioner.

vs.

Union of India and others

.....Respondents.

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Mr. Vishav Bharti Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Pridhi Jaswinder Sandhu, Jr. Standing Counsel
for respondents.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Short prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of directions to the CIT (Appeals) NFAC, Delhi, to dispose of a statutory appeal that the petitioner/assessee had preferred in the year 2019 against the assessment order pertaining to assessment year 2013-2014.

It is the assertion made by counsel that at the stage of filing of the appeal even deposit of 20% of the disputed demand has been made.

Ms. Pridhi Jaswinder Sandhu, Jr. Standing Counsel for the respondents makes a statement that the appeal preferred by the petitioner would be decided on merits within a period of six months from today and till that time no coercive steps would be initiated.

Statement is accepted.

Writ petition disposed of

It is clarified that we have not examined the issue on merits.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

19.12.2022
shweta

Whether speaking/non speaking?
Whether reportable/non reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no