

112 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10892-2022

Date of Decision: 18.11.2022

Rabia Banoo

...Petitioner

VS.

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Bhavdeep Singh Mamli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-2) to the Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh and he shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into her representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Additional Public Prosecutor for U.T, Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing anything on the merits, respondent No.2-

Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the matter and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, within a period of two weeks, in accordance with law.

With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

18.11.2022
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No