

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

225

CRM-M-53050-2022

Date of decision: 14.12.2022

MANPREET SINGH @ MANGA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurlal Singh Dhillon, AAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL , J.(ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.91 dated 06.07.2021, registered at Police Station Kiratpur Sahib, Rupnagar, under Sections 365, 342, 379-B, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 379-B deleted and Sections 397 and 325 IPC added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had snatched key of the motor-cycle whereas the allegations of snatching silver *kara*, two gold rings, a watch and a purse containing Rs.26,000/-, belonging to the complainant, are against the other co-accused; that the petition filed by the co-accused, seeking anticipatory bail, is pending adjudication before this Court.

Learned State counsel submits that as per status report, the bail applications of Baldev Singh and Gurmukh Singh @ Happy have been dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

There are specific allegation against the petitioner that he has

stopped the complainant and snatched the key of the motorcycle whereas the other co-accused has snatched silver kada, two gold rings, a watch and a purse containing Rs.26,000/-. Further, vehicle/car used by the petitioner and co-accused and valuable article including amount of the complainant are yet to be recovered from the petitioner and co-accused.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

14.12.2022
P.Bhatt

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No