

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45584-2019
Date of decision-19.01.2022**

Virender **...Petitioner**
State of Haryana **Vs.** **...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.719 dated 10.09.2019 under Sections 406 and 420 Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 24.10.2019, whereby while issuing notice of motion, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the complainant was pursuing the mutation of the title secured through Civil Court decree in the year 2016 and allegedly a sum of ₹25 lakhs was given to the petitioner for facilitating the mutation. Learned counsel further contends that it is the case of the complainant that he has been pursuing with the competent authorities for mutation for the last 3 years and there was no occasion for him to make the payment to the petitioner who is not at all connected with the issue.

Notice of motion for 5.3.2020.
Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by PSI Sandeep does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 24.10.2019 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.01.2022

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No