

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-10779-2022**

**Date of Decision: November 16, 2022**

**Suman Rani and another**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

By way of filing this petition, the petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberties in view of the fact that they are in a live-in relationship and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are in a live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 9 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. It is submitted that petitioner No. 1-Suman Rani is aged about 20 years and petitioner No. 2 – Deepu, is aged about 20 years and they apprehend danger at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9 who will eliminate them if they intend to solemnize the marriage after petitioner No. 2-Deepu will attain marriageable age.

In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9, petitioners have allegedly moved a representation dated 12.11.2022, (Annexure P-3) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No. 2), wherein, all the apprehension to their lives has

been expressed.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (State).

At this stage, Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate, appears and files his vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No. 6, in the Court today and same is taken on record.

Since petitioners have not contracted any marriage and seek only protection *qua* their lives and personal liberty, and for the same already representation dated 12.11.2022 (Annexure P-3) is pending, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No. 2 to have a fair look to the said representation, on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by them.

Respondent No. 2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. If innocence of the petitioners is established, respondent No. 2 shall proceed to take appropriate action in order to protect their lives and personal liberty from being invaded by private respondents No. 4 and 5.

This order is passed at this stage without meaning anything on the status of the petitioners on the basis of live-in relationship. Respondent No. 2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded here-in-above.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 16, 2022**

Riya                      Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/~~NO~~**  
                                 Whether Reportable:    **~~YES~~/NO**