

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

**Civil Revision No.5224 of 2022
Date of Decision: 15th November, 2022**

Avtar Singh & Others

...Revisionists-Petitioners

Versus

Gram Panchayat & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present :- Mr. Rakesh Kaundal, Advocate,
for the revisionists-petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

The revisionists-petitioners herein have sought the indulgence of this Court for setting aside the order dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Additional District Judge, Kaithal (for short 'the Appellate Court') in Civil Appeal No.124 of 2019 whereby their prayer for staying the operation of the impugned judgment and decree dated 18.03.2019 has been declined and they have further prayed for staying the operation of the same during the pendency of the said appeal.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionists-petitioners, at the preliminary stage, in the present revision petition and have also gone through the file thoroughly.

A perusal of the afore-mentioned impugned order (Annexure P-7) reveals that the Appellate Court had categorically observed therein that no case was made out to stay the operation of the impugned judgment

and decree at that stage and it would be appropriate if the matter was heard on merits and the appeal was ordered to be listed on 15.11.2022, i.e today, for hearing the arguments on merits.

In view of the above-discussed facts and circumstances, it is explicit that the instant revision petition has become infructuous. Resultantly, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

15.11.2022
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*