

COCp-2510-2022

Date of Decision :22.11.2022

Om Parkash Wadhwa

...Petitioner

versus

Anurag Rastogi

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 01.08.2022, in CWP-16525-2022.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-16525-2022, was disposed of by directing the respondent that in case any appeal was preferred by the petitioner against impugned order dated 29.06.2020, the same be decided by the respondent concerned within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.
3. Issue notice to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him.
4. Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on instructions from Mr. Mandeep Kumar, Dy. District Attorney O/o of the respondent, states that the respondent assures that the appeal filed by the petitioner against impugned order dated 29.06.2020, would be decided within four weeks.

assurance held out by the respondent through the learned AAG, Haryana, he does not press the instant petition.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the respondent to decide the aforementioned appeal within four weeks from date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which, apart from the petitioner being entitled to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, the respondent would also make payment of costs of Rs.25,000/- to the petitioner.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.11.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No