

Inder Singh

...Petitioner

versus

ShatrujeetKapur and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Shivam Malik, Advocate for Mrs. Santosh Malik,
Advocate for the petitioner.Mr. RandeepTanwar, Advocate for Mr. P.K. Dwivedi,
Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 27.03.2018, in CWP-7570-2018.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 27.03.2018, reveals that CWP-7570-2018, was disposed of by directing the respondent to look into the grievances of the petitioner as contained in notice dated 12.01.2017 and to take a conscious decision in respect thereto by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to issuance of notice of motion, the respondents have placed on record reply along with order Annexure R/1 dated 13.02.2020, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in notice dated 12.01.2017 and

that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the

instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same, with liberty to challenge order dated 13.02.2020, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.07.2022

ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No