

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-10772-2022

Decided on : 16.11.2022

Ravinder and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Ravinder, aged about 23 years and petitioner No.2 – Annu, aged about 23 years, have got married on 10.11.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 8. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 -Senior Superintendent of Police, Sector-9, Chandigarh, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice to U.T. Chandigarh, Mr. P.S. Paul, Addl. PP, U. T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (State). He submits that UT police has already recorded the statement of

both the petitioners wherein it is expressed that they have no threats from any one.

Be that as it may, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior of Superintendent of Police, Sector-9, Chandigarh, to look into the representation dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 16, 2022

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*