

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10673-2022

Date of Decision: 06.12.2022

Furkan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ram K.Singla, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3/State.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

Present petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus and appointment of a warrant officer to search the detenues mentioned in paragraph No.4 of this petition from the illegal custody of respondent No.4 – Pawan.

On 11.11.2022, notice of motion was issued in this case and respondent No.2 – District Magistrate, Jhajjar was directed to look into the matter/representation dated 07.11.2022 (Annexure P-1) and file a status report.

Today, status report by way of affidavit dated 22.11.2022 of Capt. Shakti Singh, District Magistrate, Jhajjar (respondent No.2) has been filed by learned State counsel in Court, which is taken on record, subject to

all just exceptions. The said report reads as under:-

“ - x - x -

1. *That on 22nd of November, 2022, a team was constituted to inquire into the complaint and members included Labour Inspector, Naib Tehsildar, DFSC Jhajjar, BDPO Bahadurgarh.*
2. *That no person mentioned in the petition was found at the site of M/s JSR Bhattha Company, Mark-HARSH, Village-Kanonda, Tehsil-Bahadurgarh, District-Jhajjar.*
3. *That during the course of inspection, the statements of several workers found working at the brick kiln were taken on record. Therein they categorically denied ever having seen the complainant or the persons mentioned in the petition.*
4. *That the labourers found working at the site denied any physical assault or inhuman treatment meted out to them by the owners.*
5. *That the workers told they were free to work and move about the premises at their liberty.*
6. *That the wages and other dues were paid to the workers on time and as per the rates fixed by the statute.*
7. *That there are no bonded labourers at the site.*
8. *That the complainant was contacted at the telephone number provided in the petition i.e. 9412002419 and thereupon he informed that the persons mentioned in the complaint were present at their native places.*

- x - x -”

Today despite second call, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present matter any further.

In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed by this Court in the present petition. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

06.12.2022

Apurva

**(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No