

125.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25992-2022

Date of Decision: 15.11.2022

JASVIR SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for the issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to step up the pay of the petitioner at par with his junior in terms of letter dated 07.02.2020, Annexure P-5, and further to grant all arrears of pay and consequential benefits along with interest @ 12% per annum.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that for the aforesaid grievance, the petitioner has approached the department number of times, but to no avail. He even moved a representation on 11.08.2014, which has not been decided till date. It is submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the instant writ petition itself may be treated as a representation and a speaking order is passed thereon in terms of letter issued by the office of

Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh, on 07.02.2020, Annexure P-5, recommending removal of pay anomaly, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Let sufficient number of copies of complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction that the instant writ petition itself shall be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and would be decided by the respondents in terms of letter issued by the office of Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh, on 07.02.2020, Annexure P-5, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

15.11.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No