

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-26066-2022

Date of decision : 16.11.2022

Jai Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Antal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed as a contractual employee after issuance of an advertisement under the outsourcing policy Part-II. He apprehends that his services may be transferred to the Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Ltd. and he is being replaced by another set of contractual employees which would be impermissible under the law. He also submits that the petitioner has sent a representation dated 29.10.2022 (Annexure P-18) in this regard to the respondents and no action has been taken thereon.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representation dated 29.10.2022 (Annexure P-18) in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

November 16, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No