

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 10747 of 2022

Date of Decision: 15th November, 2022

Radha and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. L. S. Lakhanpal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice on instructions that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, their phones were switched off. He further submits that they were neither physically available on given address nor approached the police authorities.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

It is clarified that this court has not commented upon the factum of marriage; validity of marriage of the petitioners, their age as aadhaar card is not the proof of date of birth.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

15th November, 2022

mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |