

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-25884-2022

Date of Decision :29.11.2022

Rambir Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner is that the benefit of certain posts which remained vacant in the category of ex-serviceman, should have been given to the candidates of general category, which benefit has not been given, which action of the respondents is contrary to the instructions of the Government of Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 05.09.2022 (Annexure P/8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court,

accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 05.09.2022 (Annexure P/8) in a time bound manner and further submits that in case any other representation raising any other plea is filed by the petitioner within a period of 07 days from today, an appropriate speaking order will be passed by the respondents within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, the present writ petition may be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 29, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No