

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

117

**CWP No.26489 of 2022(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.11.2022**

Seema Bharti

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Ranolia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate;

Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate and

Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate for respondent No2.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that her complaint dated 12.06.2021 (Annexure P-1) which is pending before the Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram, has not been decided so far.

Mr. Mittal, learned counsel for respondent No.2, on instructions, states that the said complaint would be decided within a period of three months.

In view of statement of Mr. Mittal, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

**(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE**

21.11.2022

D.Gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No