

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 119

CWP-25882-2022

Date of decision : 14.11.2022

Ramesh Kumar Aggarwal and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Rajiv Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr.Jagbir Malik, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Since before seeking the issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* the petitioners have not the approached the respondents, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to treat the present petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and take a final decision thereupon in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel has no objection if the afore prayer made on behalf of the petitioners is accepted.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to treat the present petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and take a final decision thereupon, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners/their authorised representative(s), preferably within three months from the date of the receipt of a copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

14.11.2022

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No