

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-52448-2022

Date of Decision: 18.11.2022

Sagar Jaiswal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Ajay Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

Through instant petition under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Code, 1973, the petitioner is seeking bail in FIR No.226 dated 08.07.2022 registered at Police Station Sector-14, District Gurugram, under Sections 369/328 of IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that child has not been recovered from the custody of the petitioner. The *challan* has already been presented and the petitioner is in custody since 11.07.2022.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is a father of three girls and on account of lack of son, he has committed alleged offence. She further submits that *challan* has already been presented and charges are not still framed.

Keeping in mind the fact that the petitioner even if conceded that he has committed alleged offence, had not committed for the purpose of ransom; child has already been recovered; petitioner is in custody since

11.07.2022; he is father of three minor female children, I am of the view that the petitioner deserves to be released on bail.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.11.2022
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>