

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53321-2022

Date of Decision: 30.11.2022

VARINDER KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

On 17.11.2022 order as below was passed:

“Through the instant petition under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner seeks directions to respondent authorities to decide representation dated 14.4.2022 (Annexure P-5) submitted by him for taking action against private respondents for alleged fraud.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the wife of the petitioner had passed away about three years back leaving behind a six years old son. The respondent taking advantage of family condition of the petitioner developed intimacy with the family. Respondent No. 6 in connivance with her family members laid honey trap and duped a sum of Rs. 10 lakhs from the petitioner. The petitioner is a halqa patwari and submitted representation to police to take appropriate action against the respondent No. 6 and her family members.

Mr. J.P.Ratra, Sr. DAG, Punjab, seeks short accommodation to ascertain the factual position.

Adjourned to 30.11.2022.”

Learned State counsel submits that matter pertains to economic offences wing (EW) and it is going to be transferred to said wing, which would then take necessary action.

The Court is sanguine of the fact that Competent Authority would do the needful. No further direction is warranted.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.11.2022
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

