

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 10743 of 2022

Date of Decision: 15th November, 2022

Jyoti Rani and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice on instructions that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, their phones were switched off. She further submits that they were neither physically available on given address nor approached the police authorities.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done

expeditiously.

It is clarified that this court has not commented upon the factum of marriage; validity of marriage of the petitioners, their age as aadhaar card is not the proof of date of birth.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

15th November, 2022
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |