

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25800-2022

Date of Decision :14.11.2022

Pavitra Disodia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner competed for appointment to the post of Craft Instructor (Women) Cutting and Sewing (Theory) in pursuance to the advertisement No.12/2019 (Annexure P/1) issued by the respondents under the reserved category of scheduled caste, in which category, 08 posts were advertised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that initially the petitioner tried to upload the documents required for considering her claim but for one reason or the other, same could not be uploaded and the petitioner was not considered for the appointment but during the pendency of the selection process, respondents gave another opportunity to the candidates to upload their documents and the petitioner complied with the said direction but in the ultimate result, the petitioner has not been selected though, out of the 08 posts advertised in the category, in which the petitioner was competing, only 02 posts have been filled up and 06 posts are still lying vacant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

marks of the petitioner are equivalent to that of the last selected candidate in the scheduled caste category hence, the petitioner is within the zone of selection and consequent appointment, keeping in view number of posts advertised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P/8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Kumar Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P/8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in her representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P/8) respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P/8) by passing a speaking order within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 14, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No