

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10656-2022

Date of Decision: 29th November, 2022

Simranjeet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Ms. Urvashi Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Neetu Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jaiteshwar Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 12 (arrayed in the petition).

2. As per the pleadings, the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

3. On 14th November, 2022 following order was passed:-

*“Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only
at this stage.*

*Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab, appearing on
advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have
instructions.*

Put up on 29.11.2022.

*In the meantime, the police officials not below the
rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the
statements of the petitioners.*

*In case a request is made by the petitioners, they
may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”*

4. Learned State counsel submits that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded. It is stated therein that there is no threat perception and they do not want any security.
5. In view of the above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.
6. The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29th November, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No