

**127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6675-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.11.2022

Smt. Kavita

....Petitioner

Versus

Sh.Ravinder

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Case has been called out twice. Learned counsel representing the petitioner has not come forward to press this petition. Even on 04.11.2022, learned counsel representing the petitioner was not present.

This Court has examined the paperbook. The plaintiff, in a suit for grant of decree of possession, in order to restrain the defendant from interfering in his peaceful possession, is the petitioner herein. The petitioner claims that the defendant has carried out certain construction during the pendency of the aforesaid suit. An application for permission to amend the plaint was dismissed by the trial court.

In substance, the plaintiff claims that the defendant has carried out certain construction during the pendency of the suit. For such kind of development, amendment in the pleadings is not necessary. The court, while passing the decree, can mould the relief, if it comes to a conclusion that some construction has been carried out, during the pendency of the suit.

With all these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

21.11.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE