

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

259

CRM-M-9712-2016

Date of Decision: 18.10.2022

Satinder Singh @ Satwinder Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.**

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the charges have been framed whereas, the petitioner is seeking quashing of the FIR.

Given above, since the judicial order was passed for framing of charges, as such, the present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR without challenging the framing of charges, is not maintainable.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file a petition for challenging the framing of charges. It is made clear in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE**

18.10.2022

*anju rani*

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable?

Yes/no  
Yes/no