

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M-10654-2022
Date of Decision: 15.11.2022

Shadab

Versus

..... Petitioner

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Hoshier Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 to consider the parole case of the petitioner and decide the same at the earliest.

After arguing for some time, facing strict opposition from the State, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh parole application, subject to the condition that when he files fresh parole application, the prior rejection order should not come in the way.

The prayer being innocuous, the same is allowed.

Given above, the present petition stands disposed of and petitioner is permitted to file a fresh application for parole.

It is clarified that if the petitioner files a fresh application for parole, then the previous order of rejection shall not come in the way while considering the fresh application, which will be decided afresh within a period of two months as far as possible from the date of its receipt. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

15.11.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No