

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25656 of 2022(O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.12.2022

Sandeep Kumar

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Gautam Pathania, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CM No.19466-CWP of 2022

This is an application for preponing the date of hearing in the main case, which is already fixed for 17.02.2023.

On 11.11.2022, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that arms licence was issued to the petitioner on 18.11.2019 by the competent authority at Panchkula. At that time, the petitioner was resident of Panchkula. The said arms licence was extended to the States of Delhi, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Punjab by the Home Department, Government of Haryana. In the month of April, 2021, the petitioner has shifted his residence to his native village in Tehsil Hansi, District Hisar and precisely for that reason, he had applied for re-registration of his

arms licence. Despite no objection granted by the office of DCP, Panchkula, the needful in the context of re-registration of arms licence of the petitioner has not been done.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana, on the basis of advance notice appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He seeks short adjournment in order to have further instructions.

List on 29.11.2022.”

Evidently, the grievance of the petitioner is that despite filing his application dated 16.07.2021 under Rule 17 of the Arms Act, 2016 for re-registration of his arms licence in view of no objection granted by the office of DCP, Panchkula, resultant proceedings have not been initiated by the competent authority.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Addl., A.G., Haryana appears on behalf of the State and submits that needful in the context of deciding the application dated 16.07.2021 shall be done by the competent authority in accordance with law, preferably within a period of four weeks.

In view of aforesaid facts, date of hearing in the main case is preponed. The case is taken up today itself.

Application is allowed.

Main case

This writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to decide the application dated 16.07.2021 filed by the petitioner under Rule 17 of the Arms Act, 2016 in accordance with law preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

09.12.2022
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No