

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-25568-2022

Date of Decision : November 10, 2022

M/s Mahavir Rice & General Mills

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been allocated the paddy in consonance with the Punjab Custom Milling Policy for Khariff 2022-23, which action of the respondents is causing prejudice to the petitioner, hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for allotment of paddy as per Punjab Custom Milling Policy for Khariff 2022-23.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate

speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 31.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), within a period of four weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

November 10, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No