

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-25826-2022

Date of Decision :14.11.2022

Sukhbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present writ petition, the petitioner was competing for appointment to the post of Fitter Instructor Practical in pursuance to advertisement No.12/2019 (Annexure P/1) issued by the respondents under the ex-serviceman category but the claim of the petitioner has been declined by the respondents on the ground that petitioner does not have Craft Instructor Training certificate, which is essential. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even in the advertisement, it was mentioned that for ex-serviceman category, Craft Instructor Training course shall be relaxed if the candidate possesses equivalent technical qualification as certified by Director General, Rehabilitation, Ministry of Defence or Director General Employment and Training, Government of India. Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that keeping in view the said relaxation as the petitioner has Craft diploma in fitter training through ITI, petitioner is entitled for the benefit of

said equivalent qualification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P/11), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Kumar Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P/11) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P/11) respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 03.11.2022 (Annexure P/11) by passing a speaking order within a period of 04 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 14, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No