

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CR No.5113 of 2022

Date of Decision: 14.11.2022

Manoj Kumar Bhargav and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Kapil Bhargava (Since deceased) through his LRs
and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present :- Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate
for the revisionists-petitioners.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant revision petition, the petitioners seek the quashing of the orders dated 15.10.2022 (Annexures P-1 & P-2) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Bahadurgarh (for short 'the trial Court'), in Civil Suit No.638 of 2014 whereby the application (Annexure P-23) moved by respondent No.1(i)-LR of defendant No.1 for setting-aside the *ex-parte* order dated 28.07.2016 (Annexure P-12) has been allowed and they also assail the orders Annexures P-3 to P-11 passed by the trial Court in the afore-said Civil Suit, while averring that the above-mentioned application had been moved at the fag end of the trial.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners-plaintiffs, at the preliminary stage, in the present revision petition and have also perused the file thoroughly.

3. Vide order Annexure P-12, defendant No.1, the predecessor-

-in-interest of respondents No.1(i) to (iii), had been proceeded against *ex-parte* in the afore-said Civil Suit. Respondent No.1(i) moved the application dated 30.09.2022 (Annexure P-23) in the trial Court with the prayer to set-aside the above-said order and the same has been allowed by the trial Court vide the impugned order Annexure P-2 subject to the deposit of the cost to the tune of Rs.10,000/- by the applicant-respondent No.1 (i) with DLSA, Bahadurgarh and as mentioned in order Annexure P-11, the same has already been so deposited.

4. As a sequel to the fore-going discussion, it follows that the impugned orders do not suffer from any illegality, infirmity, irregularity or perversity so as to call for any interference by this Court. Resultantly, the present revision petition, being *sans* any merit, stands dismissed.

14.11.2022

neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>