

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(116)

CWP No. 25663 of 2022

Date of Decision : 11.11.2022

Naresh Sarsar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Samir Rathaur, Advocate and
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the grievance of the petitioners is that the rules governing the service, according to which the posts have been advertised, are contrary to the regulations issued by the Pharmacy Council of India in the year 2014 hence, the advertisement (Annexure P-4), which though provides the qualification envisaged under the rules governing the service issued in the year 2007 but the same has to be treated contrary to the regulations of the Pharmacy Council of India, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-1.

On being pointed out whether, there is a challenge to the rules governing the service or not, learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to challenge 2007 rules on the ground that the same are contrary to the minimum qualification prescribed by the Pharmacy Council of India.

Ordered accordingly.

November 11, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No