

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 25629 of 2022
Date of Decision : 11.11.2022

Rajrani and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Garvit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance, which is being raised by the petitioners is that though they have been appointed on the post of Sweeper but they are also being asked to discharge the duties of Chowkidar, which is totally arbitrary and illegal. The prayer of the petitioners is for issuance of a direction to the respondents only to assign work to the petitioners of the post on which they have been appointed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 01.03.2022 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 01.03.2022 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 01.03.2022 (Annexure P-8), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 11, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*