

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CRWP-10606-2022

Decided on : 11.11.2022

Saiffi Sidhu and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate for petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 & 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Saiffi Sidhu, aged about 20 years and petitioner No.2 – Harpreet Singh, aged about 22 years, have got married on 25.02.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for petitioners further submits that after one week of marriage, petitioner No. 1-Saiffi Sidhu had gone abroad and returned to India on 07.11.2022 and since her returning from abroad to India, respondents No. 4 to 7 (family members of petitioner No.1) are threatening petitioner No. 1 via phone call to kill both the petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 07.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A. G., Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (State).

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, to look into the representation dated 07.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 11, 2022
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*