

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-466-2014 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 16.11.2022**

**ROOP CHAND AND ORS.**

**..... APPELLANTS**

**VS**

**KASHMIR KAUR**

**..... RESPONDENT**

**RSA-1612-2014 (O&M)**

**ROOP CHAND AND ORS.**

**..... APPELLANTS**

**VS**

**KASHMIR KAUR**

**..... RESPONDENT**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present :- None for the appellants.

Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the respondents.

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

Vide order dated 07.05.2019, there was no representation on behalf of the appellants and subsequently as per order dated 21.08.2019, it was made clear that if none appears on behalf of the appellants, the Court shall be constrained to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution. Even on the

last date of hearing, there was no representation and the position remains the same today.

In the light of the above, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. In case, the appellant moves an application for restoration, it is made clear that the said application would be entertained only if the matter is argued in its entirety.

Misc. application, if any, shall stand disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**16.11.2022**  
***manju***

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No