

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44384-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.03.2022

Harish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. C. S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. R. S. Rawal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0235 dated 14.09.2019, registered under Section 420 IPC at Police Station Rajpura, District Patiala.

The operative part of the order dated 23.10.2019, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that the petitioner is ready to refund the earnest money to the complainant.

List again on 12.12.2019.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 23.10.2019, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that on four occasions, the petitioner has paid Rs. 1 Lakh each to the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record a

copy of the demand draft dated 23.03.2022 to submit that the entire payment has been made.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 23.10.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

30.03.2022
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No