

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.52514 of 2022
Date of decision : 19.12.2022**

Mandeep Kumar @ Mandeep Singh @ Deepu Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CRM-M No.54065 of 2022

Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukha @ Alien Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CRM-M No.53414 of 2022

Chhinder Singh @ Chhinda Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Sanjeev Patyial, Advocate
for the petitioner (CRM-M-52514-2022).

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner (CRM-M-54065-2022).

Ms. Riffi Birla, Advocate
for the petitioner (CRM-M-53414-2022).

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.D.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant (CRM-M-52514-2022 &
CRM-M-54065-2022).

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By this common order, I intend to dispose off three petitions

filed seeking regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case F.I.R. No.84 dated

04.07.2022 registered under Sections 307, 326, 324, 427, 323, 148, 149, 120-B IPC (offences under Sections 325/326 IPC added subsequently in CRM-M-54065-2022) at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) argue that each of the petitioner(s) have been attributed injuries which are simple in nature and thus offence punishable under Section 307 IPC cannot be attributed to them. It has been further contended that moreover there is no motive attributable to them and the same is attributable to Ravinder Kumar who is alleged to have caused grievous injury on the person of Sandeep Kumar s/o Om Parkash and on the person of Sandeep Kumar s/o Jai Chand.

Injury Chart by way of affidavit of Sukhwinder Singh Brar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Abohar, District Fazilka on behalf of State have been filed separately in all the three cases. The same are taken on record. As per the same, the factual assertions made by counsels representing the petitioner(s) get supported.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, submits that all of them were part of unlawful assembly and have criminal antecedents and thus would not be entitled for grant of regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the records of the case.

Keeping in view the fact that as per the investigating agency all the petitioner(s) are stated to have caused simply injuries on the person of the injured (s). Investigation already stands concluded and the challan qua the petitioner(s) stands presented, without commenting on the merits of the case and the incarceration suffered by the petitioner(s), the present petitions are allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their

furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.12.2022
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No