

Prem Chand

...Petitioner

versus

Baldev Singh Sran and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-7726-CII-2020

For the reasons as are mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Reply filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.

COCP-3542-2019

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 27.03.2018, in CWP-20993-2016, which was allowed with bunch of writ petition with leading case being CWP-17100-2016, i.e. case titled as Paramjit Singh vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents states that on dismissal of the SLP, orders Annexure P/1 dated 27.03.2018, in CWP-17100-2016 and Annexure P/1A dated 27.03.2018, in CWP-20993-2016, have been complied with and benefits as per entitlement released to the petitioner and that in the circumstances, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents.

3. Learned counsel refers to order Annexure R/1 i.e. order passed by the respondents in compliance of order Annexure P/1 and P/1A and states that copy of reply has already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. However, none is present on behalf of the petitioner. In the circumstances, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

4. In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

5. Rule discharged. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if cause survives.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.08.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No