

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26052-2022

Date of Decision :16.11.2022

Satish Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner applied in pursuance to the advertisement No.12/2019 issued by the respondents being fully eligible for the post of Computer Operator and the petitioner appeared in the written examination but, the result of the same was not declared by the respondents for a period of two years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that respondents declared the result and issued a notice calling the candidates for scrutiny of documents, which notice was missed by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the respondents have taken two years time to declare the result and to call the candidates for scrutiny of their documents, the petitioner cannot be faulted in case, he missed the said result. Prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, keeping in view the marks obtained by the petitioner in the written examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the

petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 30.10.2022 (Annexure P/4), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Kumar Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 30.10.2022 (Annexure P/4) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his representation dated 30.10.2022 (Annexure P/4), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 30.10.2022 (Annexure P/4) by passing a speaking order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

November 16, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No