

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 10591 of 2022

Date of Decision: 10th November, 2022

Shiv Diyal

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This is a petition seeking directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the hands of private respondents (respondents No. 4 to 7 arrayed in the petition).

The facts as per the pleadings are that marriage of the son of the petitioner was solemnised with Kashish. There was matrimonial dispute. The matter was compromised in the Panchayat on 20.7.2022 and an agreement of panchayati divorce was entered into between the parties. Two cheques of Rs.10,00,000/- were given.

The son of the petitioner is an Army official.

The petitioner had sent representation on 30.10.2022 through registered post to Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot.

From the reading of the representation, it is forthcoming that the grievance being raised is that daughter-in-law of the petitioner is not adhering to the compromise entered in the Panchayat and she is raising a

grievance that amount of Rs.10,00,000/- for panchayati divorce is less and she should be paid Rs.30,00,000/-. The matter was brought to the notice of the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat, Chack Manhasa where the daughter-in-law of the petitioner and her family denied the agreement.

A bald statement has been made stating that Ajay Kumar, Deepak Kumar and Kashish Bhatti had threatened the petitioner to pay Rs.30,00,000/-, otherwise he would be eliminated.

This petition is filed without waiting for reasonable time after sending the representation through post.

The representation is only an endeavour to get the agreement of divorce in the panchayat implemented by creating a pressure. Needless to say that panchayati divorce has no legal backing.

No case is made out for interference.

The petition is dismissed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

10th November, 2022
mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No