

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRWP-10576-2022
Decided on : 10.11.2022**

Ritu and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Aarti Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Ritu, aged about 27 years and petitioner No.2 – Dheeraj Kumar, aged about 29 years, have got married on 01.11.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.5 to 7. After verifying the marriage, it was got registered in the office of Directorate of Economics & Statics, Hanumangarh Junction, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan, vide Marriage Registration Certificate dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-5). It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 06.11.2022

(Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Fazilka,

wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. AS Sandhu, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Sr. Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to look into the representation dated 06.11.2022 (Annexure P-6), which was sent through a registered post on 07.11.2022, *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

5. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 10, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*