

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-10552-2022**

**Decided on : 09.11.2022**

Reshma and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

PRESENT: Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 – Reshma, aged about 31 years and petitioner No.2 – Aman Kumar, aged about 25 years, have got married on 04.11.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No. 5 to 9. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached to this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-8) to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana,

who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to

4 (State).

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, to look into the representation dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-8) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. Respondent No. 2 would also ensure that conversion of the religion of petitioner No. 1-Reshma from Muslim to Hindu, is with her free will and wish.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 09, 2022**

*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*              *Yes/No*