

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10547-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.11.2022

Reeki and another

... *Petitioners*

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

... *Respondents*

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present : Mr. Ravinder Pankaj, Advocate for
Ms. Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty, in view of the threats received from respondents No. 4 to 6, who are family members of petitioner No. 1.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are major and have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents which was solemnized on 04.11.2022. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) and copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) have also

been appended.

Learned counsel further state that a representation dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been sent by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, which has not been taken into consideration, in spite of being threatened by respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police- Pathankot for requisite compliance.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

09.11.2022
seema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes /No</i>