

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5389 of 2022
Date of Decision:-09.12.2022**

Ram Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Parminder

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. C.L. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NIDHI GUPTA J.(Oral)

The present Civil Revision has been filed against the order dated 02.8.2022 passed by the District Judge-cum-Appellate Authority, Hoshiarpur, whereby while staying operation of the impugned order, the Appellate Authority has directed the petitioner to pay Rs.11,000/- per month as *mesne* profit/compensation for use and occupation of the shop in question w.e.f. 18.10.2021 i.e. the date of passing of eviction order by the Rent Controller.

As per the last order, the petitioner was directed to place on record his reply to application filed before the Appellate Authority, wherein it was stated to have been averred that the rent in the area, where the demised premises is situated, was only Rs.1357/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that such averment

has not been mentioned in the reply filed before the Appellate Authority and only receipt to this effect was presented in the Court at the time of arguments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to dispute the copy of rent note produced by respondent/landlord of the shop adjoining the demised premises, which has been rented for Rs.13,000/- per month.

Accordingly, I find no error in the well-reasoned order passed by the learned District Judge-cum-Appellate Authority, Hoshiarpur.

Accordingly, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

December 09, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No