

119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10522-2022

Date of Decision: 07.11.2022

Gautam and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Petitioners in person.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty, in view of the threats received from respondents No. 4 and 5, who are family members of petitioner No. 2.

Upon a call given by the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association, lawyers are abstaining from putting in appearance before the Court, therefore, the petitioners have appeared in person and contended that both of them are major, aged 30 years and 21 years respectively and have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents which was solemnized on 03.11.2022. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners (Annexures P-3 and P-4), photographs of marriage (Annexure P-5) and copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-6) have also been appended.

Petitioners further state that a representation dated 04.11.2022 (Annexure P-7) has also been sent by the petitioners to the respondent No.2- Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, which has not been taken into consideration, in spite of being threatened by respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-7) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police-Jalandhar for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

07.11.2022
Ajay Goswami

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No